



Role of International Law to Protect the Outer Space from Degradation

Savita Sharma¹, Dr. Tufail Ahmad Khan²

¹ Research Scholar, Department of Law, NIMS School of Law University, Jaipur, India

² Professor, Department of Law, NIMS School of Law University, Jaipur, India

Abstract

The exploration and utilization of outer space have significantly increased since the mid-20th century, leading to concerns about the degradation of this pristine environment. International law plays a critical role in safeguarding outer space from various forms of degradation, including space debris, resource exploitation, and harmful contamination. This paper examines the existing international legal framework designed to protect outer space, evaluates its effectiveness, and proposes enhancements to address current and future challenges. Utilizing secondary data analysis, this study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the role of international law in mitigating outer space degradation and ensuring sustainable space activities.

Keywords: International law, outer space, space degradation, space debris, environmental protection, sustainable space activities, space governance

Introduction

Outer space, once a realm of the unknown, has become an area of extensive human activity, from satellite deployment to potential resource extraction. As the number of space missions increases, so does the risk of environmental degradation in space. Issues such as space debris, which pose significant threats to both current and future space operations, and potential resource depletion are of growing concern. The international community has recognized the need for legal frameworks to regulate space activities and protect the outer space environment.

International law, particularly the treaties and agreements developed under the auspices of the United Nations, plays a pivotal role in governing the activities of states and private entities in outer space. The Outer Space Treaty of 1967, the Rescue Agreement of 1968, the Liability Convention of 1972, the Registration Convention of 1976, and the Moon Agreement of 1984 constitute the primary legal instruments that address various aspects of space activities. However, the rapid advancements in space technology and increasing commercialization necessitate a critical evaluation of these legal frameworks to ensure they remain effective in preventing space degradation.

a. Background

Outer space has become an integral part of human civilization since the launch of the first artificial satellite, Sputnik, in 1957. The subsequent space race between the United States and the Soviet Union accelerated technological advancements and expanded the scope of space activities, including satellite communication, scientific exploration, and, more recently, commercial ventures (Dick, 2007) ^[1]. Today, space technology underpins essential services such as global communication, navigation, and weather forecasting, demonstrating its indispensable role in modern society (United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs (UNOOSA), 2020) ^[2].

However, the increasing utilization of outer space has led to significant environmental concerns. Space debris, consisting of defunct satellites, spent rocket stages, and fragments from

collisions, poses a growing threat to operational spacecraft and the long-term sustainability of space activities (Kessler & Cour-Palais, 1978) ^[3]. Additionally, the potential for resource extraction on celestial bodies, such as the Moon and asteroids, raises questions about the legal and environmental implications of such activities (Pop, 2009) ^[4]. Addressing these challenges requires a robust international legal framework to govern space activities and protect the outer space environment.

b. Importance of Protecting Outer Space

The protection of outer space is crucial for several reasons. Firstly, the presence of space debris poses a direct threat to the safety and sustainability of space operations. Collisions between space objects can generate further debris, creating a cascade effect known as the Kessler Syndrome, which could render certain orbits unusable for future missions (Liou & Johnson, 2009) ^[5]. Effective legal measures are needed to mitigate the creation of debris and manage existing waste.

Secondly, the potential exploitation of extraterrestrial resources presents both opportunities and risks. While space mining could provide valuable materials for use on Earth and in space, it also raises concerns about the environmental impact and equitable access to these resources. International law must ensure that resource extraction is conducted sustainably and fairly, preventing monopolization and environmental degradation (Jakhu & Pelton, 2013) ^[6].

Lastly, the peaceful use of outer space is a fundamental principle established by international treaties. Ensuring that space activities do not lead to conflicts or militarization is essential for maintaining global security and cooperation. The Outer Space Treaty of 1967, which prohibits the placement of weapons of mass destruction in space and promotes the use of space for peaceful purposes, remains a cornerstone of space law (United Nations, 1967) ^[7].

c. Research Objectives

- To analyze the existing international legal frameworks aimed at protecting outer space from environmental degradation.

- To evaluate the effectiveness of these legal frameworks in addressing contemporary challenges related to space debris and resource exploitation.

Literature review

a. Historical Overview of Space Exploration and Utilization

The journey into outer space began in earnest with the launch of Sputnik 1 by the Soviet Union in 1957, marking the dawn of the space age. This milestone was followed closely by the United States' Explorer 1 in 1958, leading to a period of intense rivalry known as the space race (Dick, 2007) ^[8]. The Apollo missions, particularly Apollo 11's historic Moon landing in 1969, underscored the potential for human exploration beyond Earth. Over the decades, advancements in space technology have enabled the deployment of satellites for communication, weather forecasting, and global positioning systems (GPS), which are now integral to daily life (McDougall, 1985) ^[9].

The establishment of the International Space Station (ISS) in 1998 marked a new era of international cooperation in space. The ISS serves as a hub for scientific research and technological development, involving contributions from space agencies across the globe (NASA, 2020) ^[10]. The rise of private companies such as SpaceX and Blue Origin has further expanded the scope of space activities, introducing commercial spaceflight and the prospect of space tourism (Chang, 2011) ^[11].

b. Environmental Impact of Space Activities

The environmental impact of space activities is multifaceted, encompassing both the immediate vicinity of Earth and the broader cosmic environment. Space debris, or orbital debris, is one of the most pressing issues. It includes defunct satellites, spent rocket stages, and fragments from collisions or explosions, which pose significant risks to operational spacecraft and future missions (Liou & Johnson, 2009) ^[12]. The proliferation of space debris has led to increased concerns about the long-term sustainability of space operations, prompting calls for more stringent debris mitigation measures (Kessler & Cour-Palais, 1978) ^[13].

Another environmental concern is the potential contamination of celestial bodies. Planetary protection protocols aim to prevent biological contamination that could interfere with scientific investigations and the integrity of extraterrestrial environments (Rummel, 2001) ^[14]. Additionally, the prospect of resource extraction on the Moon, asteroids, and other celestial bodies raises environmental and ethical questions about the exploitation and preservation of these spaces (Pop, 2009) ^[15].

c. Existing International Legal Frameworks

International law governing space activities is primarily built on five key treaties developed under the auspices of the United Nations. The Outer Space Treaty of 1967 is the foundational document, establishing principles such as the use of space for peaceful purposes, non-appropriation of outer space, and the prevention of harmful contamination (United Nations, 1967) ^[16]. The Rescue Agreement of 1968 outlines the responsibilities of states to assist astronauts in distress.

The Liability Convention of 1972 provides a framework for liability in the event of damage caused by space objects, promoting accountability among launching states. The

Registration Convention of 1976 requires states to register space objects with the United Nations, enhancing transparency and traceability (United Nations, 1976) ^[17]. The Moon Agreement of 1984 extends the principles of the Outer Space Treaty to the Moon and other celestial bodies, emphasizing international cooperation and resource sharing.

d. Challenges in Space Governance

Despite the existing legal frameworks, space governance faces several challenges. The rapid increase in space activities, particularly by private entities, has outpaced the development of regulatory mechanisms (Jakhu & Pelton, 2013) ^[18]. The current treaties, while comprehensive in their time, lack specific provisions to address modern issues such as space debris management and commercial space mining. Enforcement of international space law is another significant challenge. The voluntary nature of many guidelines and the reliance on state compliance can lead to inconsistencies and gaps in enforcement (Williamson, 2006) ^[19]. Moreover, geopolitical tensions and differing national interests complicate the establishment of universally accepted regulations and cooperation mechanisms (Dolman, 2002) ^[20].

e. Theoretical Perspectives on Space Law

Theoretical perspectives on space law provide insights into the development and application of legal frameworks governing space activities. The principle of *res communis*, which treats outer space as a common heritage of mankind, underpins many international treaties, advocating for the shared use and benefit of space resources (Gabrynowicz, 2004) ^[21]. This principle contrasts with the concept of *res nullius*, where unclaimed territories can be appropriated, a perspective often debated in the context of space mining and commercial exploitation (Tronchetti, 2013) ^[22].

Another theoretical approach is the balance between sovereignty and international cooperation. While the Outer Space Treaty prohibits national appropriation, it recognizes the rights of states to conduct space activities, leading to debates on how to balance these rights with collective interests (Lyal & Larsen, 2009) ^[23]. Theories of environmental ethics also play a role, emphasizing the responsibility to protect extraterrestrial environments from degradation and contamination (Rummel, 2001) ^[24].

In conclusion, the literature on space law highlights the evolution of legal frameworks, the environmental impacts of space activities, and the ongoing challenges in governance. These perspectives are essential for understanding the current state of space law and identifying areas for future development.

Research methodology

This study employs a secondary data analysis approach, utilizing existing literature, legal documents, and reports from international organizations. Secondary data analysis is appropriate for this research as it allows for a comprehensive review of the established legal frameworks and their practical implications. Key sources include United Nations treaties, resolutions, and reports from space agencies and environmental organizations. By synthesizing information from these sources, this study aims to provide an in-depth understanding of the role of international law in protecting outer space from degradation.

Existing International Legal Frameworks

The Outer Space Treaty (1967)

The Outer Space Treaty (OST) serves as the cornerstone of international space law. It establishes fundamental principles such as the prohibition of national appropriation of outer space, the use of outer space for peaceful purposes, and the responsibility of states for national space activities, including those conducted by private entities (UN, 1967) ^[25]. Article IX of the OST specifically addresses environmental concerns, requiring states to avoid harmful contamination of space and celestial bodies.

The Rescue Agreement (1968)

The Rescue Agreement complements the OST by outlining the responsibilities of states to assist astronauts in distress and return them safely to their launching state. While not directly addressing environmental degradation, this agreement underscores the collaborative nature of space activities (UN, 1968).

The Liability Convention (1972)

The Liability Convention establishes the liability framework for damage caused by space objects. It holds launching states liable for damages caused by their space objects on the surface of the Earth and in outer space (UN, 1972). This convention plays a crucial role in addressing space debris issues, as states are incentivized to mitigate the creation of debris to avoid potential liabilities.

The Registration Convention (1976)

The Registration Convention requires states to register space objects launched into Earth orbit or beyond with the United Nations (UN, 1976). This enhances transparency and accountability in space activities, facilitating the tracking and management of space debris.

The Moon Agreement (1984)

The Moon Agreement extends the provisions of the OST to the Moon and other celestial bodies, emphasizing the importance of international cooperation in the exploration and use of these bodies. It also addresses the potential for resource exploitation, calling for an international regime to govern such activities (UN, 1984).

Legal Frameworks and Their Effectiveness

Space Debris Management

▪ Definition and Sources of Space Debris

Space debris, often referred to as orbital debris, consists of non-functional, human-made objects orbiting Earth. This includes defunct satellites, spent rocket stages, and fragments from collisions or explosions (Liou & Johnson, 2009) ^[26]. The primary sources of space debris are the remnants of satellite launches, discarded rocket components, and debris generated by collisions and anti-satellite tests (Kessler & Cour-Palais, 1978) ^[27]. These debris pose significant risks to operational spacecraft and the long-term sustainability of space activities.

▪ Legal Provisions and Responsibilities

The primary legal framework for managing space debris is rooted in international treaties and guidelines. The Outer Space Treaty (1967) establishes that states are responsible for their space activities, including those conducted by private entities (United Nations, 1967). The Liability

Convention (1972) holds states liable for damage caused by their space objects, promoting accountability (United Nations, 1972) ^[28]. However, these treaties do not provide specific measures for debris mitigation.

Non-binding guidelines, such as the Inter-Agency Space Debris Coordination Committee (IADC) guidelines and the United Nations Space Debris Mitigation Guidelines, offer best practices for minimizing debris generation. These include design measures for satellites and rockets, end-of-life disposal plans, and collision avoidance strategies (IADC, 2002; UNOOSA, 2010).

▪ Current Strategies and Their Effectiveness

Current strategies for space debris management involve a combination of passive and active measures. Passive measures include designing spacecraft to minimize debris generation and implementing end-of-life disposal plans, such as de-orbiting or moving to a graveyard orbit (Liou & Johnson, 2009) ^[29]. Active debris removal (ADR) technologies, such as robotic arms and nets, are being developed to capture and remove existing debris.

Despite these efforts, the effectiveness of current strategies is limited by technological, financial, and regulatory challenges. The growing number of small satellites and mega-constellations exacerbates the debris problem, necessitating more robust and enforceable measures (Williamson, 2006) ^[30].

Resource Exploitation in Outer Space

▪ Potential Resources and Their Importance

Outer space holds vast potential for resource exploitation, including minerals from asteroids, water ice from the Moon and Mars, and helium-3 for potential fusion energy (Pop, 2009). These resources could support space exploration and provide economic benefits, reducing reliance on Earth's finite resources and enabling long-term human presence in space.

▪ Legal Challenges and Provisions

The legal framework for resource exploitation in outer space is less developed and more contentious than that for space debris. The Outer Space Treaty (1967) prohibits national appropriation of celestial bodies, but it allows for the use of space resources under certain conditions (United Nations, 1967). The Moon Agreement (1984) extends these principles, emphasizing international cooperation and equitable sharing of benefits, but it has limited ratification (United Nations, 1984).

National legislation, such as the U.S. Commercial Space Launch Competitiveness Act (2015) and Luxembourg's space mining law (2017), allows private entities to claim ownership of extracted resources, raising concerns about potential conflicts with international law (Tronchetti, 2013). The lack of a comprehensive international regime creates legal uncertainties and challenges for sustainable resource exploitation.

Environmental Protection Measures

▪ Preventing Harmful Contamination

Preventing harmful contamination of celestial bodies is a critical aspect of space environmental protection. Planetary protection protocols, established by organizations like the Committee on Space Research (COSPAR), aim to prevent biological contamination that could jeopardize scientific

research and the integrity of extraterrestrial environments (Rummel, 2001). These protocols include sterilization procedures for spacecraft and guidelines for missions to potentially habitable worlds^[31].

The Outer Space Treaty (1967) also mandates that states avoid harmful contamination of space and celestial bodies, reinforcing the importance of environmental protection (United Nations, 1967).

▪ **Mitigating Environmental Impact**

Mitigating the environmental impact of space activities involves both preventing contamination and minimizing physical and chemical damage to celestial bodies. Sustainable practices include careful site selection for landings, minimizing the footprint of human activities, and developing technologies that reduce waste and emissions (Gabrynowicz, 2004).

Current missions, such as those by the European Space Agency (ESA) and NASA, incorporate environmental considerations into their planning and execution. For example, ESA's Clean Space initiative aims to develop eco-friendly technologies for space missions, promoting sustainability in space activities (ESA, 2020).

Enhancing International Law for Sustainable Space Activities

Strengthening International Cooperation

▪ **Multilateral Agreements**

International cooperation is paramount for the sustainable management of outer space. Multilateral agreements provide a platform for states to collaborate on common goals and address shared challenges. The Outer Space Treaty (OST) and subsequent treaties set the foundation, but contemporary issues necessitate updated and more specific agreements. These new agreements should focus on detailed protocols for space debris mitigation, planetary protection, and the peaceful use of outer space resources. Multilateral dialogues and negotiations can help harmonize national policies with international standards, ensuring a cohesive approach to space governance (Jakhu & Pelton, 2013)^[32].

▪ **Role of International Organizations**

International organizations such as the United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs (UNOOSA) play a critical role in facilitating cooperation and coordination among space-faring nations. UNOOSA can lead initiatives to develop new legal instruments and guidelines, promote capacity-building in emerging space nations, and ensure compliance with existing treaties. Other organizations, such as the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) and the Committee on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space (COPUOS), are essential for managing specific aspects of space activities, including frequency allocation and scientific collaboration (UNOOSA, 2020)^[33].

Developing Specific Regulations for Space Debris

▪ **Proposals for New Treaties and Amendments**

The proliferation of space debris necessitates more stringent and specific regulations. Proposals for new treaties should include mandatory guidelines for debris mitigation, such as post-mission disposal plans and collision avoidance strategies. Amendments to existing treaties like the Liability Convention could enhance state accountability for debris created by their space activities, encouraging more

responsible behavior (Liou & Johnson, 2009)^[34]. Additionally, international consensus on active debris removal technologies and policies can significantly reduce the existing debris population.

▪ **Technological and Operational Guidelines**

Technological advancements play a crucial role in space debris management. Developing and implementing operational guidelines for debris mitigation, such as design standards for spacecraft to minimize debris generation and protocols for end-of-life disposal, are essential. These guidelines should be regularly updated to incorporate new technologies and best practices. International workshops and conferences can facilitate knowledge sharing and the adoption of innovative solutions across the global space community (Johnson, 2004)^[35].

Implementing an International Regime for Resource Exploitation

▪ **Principles for Sustainable Resource Use**

As interest in space mining grows, establishing principles for sustainable resource use is critical. These principles should include equitable access to space resources, environmental protection of celestial bodies, and the promotion of scientific research. An international regime can ensure that resource exploitation is conducted transparently and responsibly, preventing monopolization and promoting benefits for all humanity (Pop, 2009)^[36]. The regime could draw inspiration from terrestrial frameworks for sustainable resource management, adapting them to the unique challenges of the space environment.

▪ **Regulatory Frameworks and Compliance Mechanisms**

Creating a regulatory framework for space resource exploitation involves defining clear rules and standards for extraction, processing, and utilization. Compliance mechanisms, such as licensing requirements and regular audits, can ensure that space activities adhere to these standards. International bodies like UNOOSA can oversee the implementation of these regulations, facilitating cooperation and dispute resolution among states and private entities (Tronchetti, 2013)^[37].

Enhancing Transparency and Accountability

▪ **Improving Registration and Tracking Systems**

Enhancing the registration and tracking of space objects is essential for transparency and accountability in space activities. The Registration Convention requires states to register their space objects, but improvements in data accuracy and availability are needed. Advanced tracking systems, using technologies such as space-based radar and laser tracking, can provide real-time information on space objects, aiding in collision avoidance and debris management (Williamson, 2006)^[38]. An international database, accessible to all space-faring nations, can enhance situational awareness and foster cooperation.

▪ **Monitoring and Enforcement Mechanisms**

Effective monitoring and enforcement mechanisms are crucial for ensuring compliance with international space law. Independent verification bodies, similar to those used in arms control agreements, could oversee the implementation of space regulations. Penalties for non-

compliance, such as fines or sanctions, can deter irresponsible behavior. Regular reviews and updates of legal frameworks, based on technological advancements and evolving challenges, can maintain their relevance and effectiveness (Jakhu & Pelton, 2013) ^[39].

Recommendations

To enhance the effectiveness of international law in protecting outer space from degradation, several recommendations are proposed:

1. **Strengthening International Cooperation:** Enhanced collaboration among states, international organizations, and private entities is crucial for effective space governance. This includes sharing best practices, technological advancements, and developing joint initiatives to address space debris and resource exploitation (Jakhu & Pelton, 2013) ^[40].
2. **Developing Specific Regulations for Space Debris:** Establishing clear and enforceable guidelines for the mitigation and removal of space debris is essential. This may involve updating existing treaties or creating new agreements that address the technological and operational aspects of debris management (Johnson, 2004) ^[41].
3. **Implementing an International Regime for Resource Exploitation:** Developing a comprehensive legal framework to govern resource extraction on celestial bodies is imperative. This regime should ensure equitable access, prevent monopolization, and promote sustainable practices (Pop, 2009) ^[42].
4. **Enhancing Transparency and Accountability:** Improving the registration and tracking of space objects through advanced technologies and international collaboration can enhance transparency and accountability in space activities. This will aid in managing space debris and ensuring compliance with legal obligations (Williamson, 2006) ^[43].

Conclusion

The protection of outer space from degradation is a complex and multifaceted challenge that requires robust international legal frameworks. While existing treaties provide a foundational basis, they must be updated and supplemented to address contemporary issues such as space debris and resource exploitation. Enhanced international cooperation, the development of specific regulations, and the implementation of comprehensive legal regimes are essential to safeguard the outer space environment. By strengthening the role of international law, the global community can ensure sustainable and responsible space activities for future generations.

Reference

1. Dick SJ, Space, Time, Aliens Collected Works on Cosmos and Culture. Springer, 2007.
2. United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs (UNOOSA). The Long-term Sustainability of Outer Space Activities, 2020.
3. Kessler DJ, Cour-Palais BG, Collision Frequency of Artificial Satellites the Creation of a Debris Belt.

- Journal of Geophysical Research,1978:83(6):2637-2646.
4. Pop V. Who Owns the Moon Extraterrestrial Aspects of Land Mineral Resources Ownership. Springer, 2009.
5. Liou JC, Johnson NL, A. Sensitivity Study of the Effectiveness of Active Debris Removal in LEO. Acta Astronautica,2009:64(23):236-243.
6. Jakhu R, Pelton JN, Space Safety Regulations Standards. Elsevier, 2013.
7. United Nations. Treaty on Principles Governing the Activities of States in the Exploration and Use of Outer Space, Including the Moon and Other Celestial Bodies (Outer Space Treaty), 1967.
8. Dick SJ, Space, Time, Aliens Collected Works on Cosmos Culture. Springer, 2007.
9. McDougall WA, The Heavens the Earth A. Political History of the Space Age. Basic Books, 1985.
10. NASA, International Space Station Facts Figures, 2020.
11. Chang K. Private Spaceflight Aiming for the Stars. New York Times, 2011.
12. Liou JC, Johnson NL, A. Sensitivity Study of the Effectiveness of Active Debris Removal in LEO. Acta Astronautica,2009:64(23):236-243.
13. Kessler DJ, Cour-Palais BG, Collision Frequency of Artificial Satellites the Creation of a Debris Belt. Journal of Geophysical Research,1978:83(A6):2637-2646.
14. Rummel JD, Planetary Exploration in the Time of Astrobiology Protecting Against Biological Contamination. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,2001:98(5):2128-2131.
15. Pop V. Who Owns the Moon Extraterrestrial Aspects of Land Mineral Resources Ownership. Springer, 2009.
16. United Nations. Treaty on Principles Governing the Activities of States in the Exploration and Use of Outer Space, Including the Moon Other Celestial Bodies (Outer Space Treaty), 1967.
17. United Nations. Convention on Registration of Objects Launched into Outer Space (Registration Convention), 1976
18. Jakhu R, Pelton JN, Space Safety Regulations Standards. Elsevier, 2013.
19. Williamson M. Space the Fragile Frontier. AIAA, 2006.
20. Dolman EC, Astropolitik Classical Geopolitics in the Space Age. Frank Cass, 2002.
21. Gabrynowicz JI, The Promise Problems of the Moon Agreement. Space Policy, 2001:20(3):241-243. (2004).
22. Tronchetti F. The Exploitation of Natural Resources of the Moon Other Celestial Bodies A. Proposal for a Legal Regime. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2013.
23. Lyall F, Larsen PB, Space Law A. Treatise. Ashgate, 2009.
24. Rummel JD, Planetary Exploration in the Time of Astrobiology Protecting Against Biological Contamination. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,2001:98(5):2128-2131.
25. United Nations. Treaty on Principles Governing the Activities of States in the Exploration and Use of Outer Space, Including the Moon Other Celestial Bodies (Outer Space Treaty), 1967.
26. Liou JC, Johnson N. LA, Sensitivity Study of the Effectiveness of Active Debris Removal in LEO. Acta Astronautica,2009:64(2-3):236-243.

27. Kessler DJ, Cour-Palais BG, Collision Frequency of Artificial Satellites the Creation of a Debris Belt. *Journal of Geophysical Research*,1978;83(6):2637-2646.
28. United Nations. Convention on Registration of Objects Launched into Outer Space (Registration Convention), 1976.
29. Liou JC, Johnson N. LA. Sensitivity Study of the Effectiveness of Active Debris Removal in LEO, *Acta Astronautica*,2009;64(23):236-243.
30. Williamson M. *Space the Fragile Frontier*. AIAA, 2006.
31. United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs (UNOOSA). *The Long-term Sustainability of Outer Space Activities*, 2020.
32. Jakhu R, Pelton JN, *Space Safety Regulations Standards*. Elsevier, 2013.
33. United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs (UNOOSA). *The Long-term Sustainability of Outer Space Activities*, 2020.
34. Liou JC, Johnson N. LA, Sensitivity Study of the Effectiveness of Active Debris Removal in LEO, *Acta Astronautica*,2009;64(23):236-243.
35. Johnson NL, *Orbital Debris the Growing Threat to Space Operations*. AIAA, 2004.
36. Pop V. *Who Owns the Moon Extraterrestrial Aspects of Land Mineral Resources Ownership*. Springer, 2009.
37. Tronchetti F. *The Exploitation of Natural Resources of the Moon and Other Celestial Bodies A. Proposal for a Legal Regime*. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2013.
38. Williamson M. *Space the Fragile Frontier*. AIAA, 2006.
39. Jakhu R, Pelton JN, *Space Safety Regulations Standards* Elsevier, 2013.
40. Jakhu R, Pelton JN, *Space Safety Regulations Standards*. Elsevier, 2013.
41. Johnson, N. L. *Orbital Debris: The Growing Threat to Space Operations*. AIAA, 2004.
42. Pop V. *Who Owns the Moon Extraterrestrial Aspects of Land Mineral Resources Ownership*. Springer, 2009.
43. Williamson M. *Space the Fragile Frontier*. AIAA, 2006.